

### Reducing Greenhouse Gases from Transport

#### **Prof. Neville Jackson**

Chief Technology & Innovation Officer Ricardo plc



RD.13/60401.1

© Ricardo plc 2013

#### The growth of both regulation and targets for Low Carbon Vehicles sets a major challenge for the road transport sector





[1] China's target reflects gasoline fleet scenario. If including other fuel types, the target will be lower.

[2] US and Canada light-duty vehicles include light-commercial vehicles.

Sources: http://www.nhtsa.gov/staticfiles/rulemaking/pdf/cafe/Oct2010\_Summary\_Report.pdf www.theicct.org/info/documents/PVstds\_update\_apr2010.pdf;

RD.13/60401.1

## **Progress has been made against EU CO<sub>2</sub> legislation, and most Vehicle OEM's now admit that they will meet the 2015 targets**



#### Progress against 2015 130g CO<sub>2</sub> / kM target



Source: http://www.transportenvironment.org/what-we-do/cars-and-co2/background

Be wary of jumping from one "favoured" technology to the next – there are no silver bullets, just hard work & persistence!





- Policy makers often look for a "simple" solution that makes good headlines
- Auto Industry sometimes too eager to promote promising "Green" techs for PR
- Where are they now?
  - Biofuels
  - Plug-in Hybrids & EV's
  - HCCI / Alternative Combustion

## Policy & Targets should be developed through robust analysis of future technology capabilities, costs & impacts



- Future transport and mobility targets in Europe likely to be increasingly reliant on development of new technologies and fuels
- Targets must be consistent with the capabilities of these new technologies or will be considered by industry as unrealistic
- Robust technology forecasts and resultant product capabilities must be a key part of any planning exercise
- Technology planning increasingly complex:
  - Interaction between safety, security, mobility, energy use
  - Technology often developed for competitive advantage
  - Research required for technology planning itself
- Policy & Targets should be developed through analysis of future technology capabilities



# The best technical advice likely to come from Multi-stakeholder groups – beware of the "independent expert"



- Some thoughts on technical advice:
  - No such thing as an "Independent Expert"
  - Lobby groups never provide a balanced perspective (they would say that, wouldn't they")
  - Multi-stakeholder groups usually provide the best perspective
  - Incentives for engagement in multi-stakeholder groups avoid the opportunity for policy "vacuum" – publicise what you all agree on
- Some Organisations that can offer good advice:







- Inclusive Automotive Advisory Group
- Chaired by BIS SoS & Key Industry leader
- Consensus Roadmaps/UK Focus Areas/Supply chains
- Focus on accelerating deployment of Low Carbon Vehicles
- Over 200 Organisations VM's, Fuels, user groups, NGO,s
- Car labelling, Biofuel certification, Low Carbon Buses
- European Road Transport Research Advisory Council
- All EU Road Transport Stakeholders
- Define long term targets & R&D priorities to deliver these