Avoid the Unmanageable, Manage the Unavoidable

What can we expect from the climate in the coming decades, and what can we do?

J. H. Plumb Auditorium, Christ's College, Thursdays, 5:30 to 7 pm

Charles F. Kennel

Director, Vice-Chancellor, and Distinguished Professor Emeritus, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego

> Distinguished Visiting Fellow, Christ's College, Cambridge

> Visiting Research Fellow, Centre for Science and Policy University of Cambridge

Feb 27: Adaptive Management of Climate Change

The essential role of assessment in the adaptive management of complex systems; the regional specificity of climate change impacts; the critical role of local communities; the complexity of knowledge assembly for regional and local decision-support; the need to encourage timely decisions; and the capacity problem. "Knowledge Action Networks" comprising international experts and local decision-makers can inform and motivate good decisions

Reprise



Avoid the Unmanageable, Manage the Unavoidable What can we expect from the climate in the coming decades, and what can we do? J. H. Plumb Auditorium, Christ's College, Cambridge Thursday, Feb. 27, 5:30 to 7 pm

"...the greatest threat facing humanity"

U.N. Secretary General Ban Ki-Moon



"The journey of a thousand years begins with small steps" With thanks to Lao Tzu



Summer Arctic Sea Ice Area.

The sea ice extent in September 2012 was more than 40% below the median for 1979-2000



Polar Regime Shift In Progress

ACIA, 2005: Polar Amplification a reality SWIPA, 2011: Warming accelerated and changed character after 2000





SWIPA: 2005-2010 were the warmest years ever recorded. Warming now fastest in spring and autumn; before 2000, in winter, consistent with GCMs. Warming now faster over the oceans than over land.

Both changes suggest that declines in snow cover (spring) and sea-ice extent (fall) are accelerating Arctic climate change.

Screen, J.A. and I. Simmonds, The Central Role of Diminishing Sea Ice in Recent Arctic Temperature Amplification, Nature 464, 1334–1337 (29 April 2010) doi:10.1038/nature09051

Ice Melt Acceleration Confounded AR4

"The average rate of ice loss from the Antarctic ice sheet has likely increased from 30 [-37 to 97] Gt yr-1 over the period 1992-2001 to 147 [72 to 221] Gt yr-1 over the period 2002 to 2011" IPCC AR5, 2013



Regions of ice loss between 1996 and 2006 Rignot, et.al., Nature Geoscience, 1, 108, 2008

We Cannot Avoid Long-Term Climate Change

Because of what we have already done, much less what we are about to do The oceans, right now our friend, are storing up problems



INTERGOVERNMENTAL PANEL ON CLIMATE CHANGE

The Case for Adaptation

What can we expect if we meet CO2 targets for stabilization, act on short-lived climate pollutants, but also reduce cooling air pollution?



To stay below 2C by 2050, we should begin reducing GHG emissions by 2015, but global emissions are accelerating, and show no sign of moderating. Even if we try everything, we may not keep warming in 2050 below 2C. We should prepare for at least 1.2C additional warming by 2050. This would mean the rate of climate change could more than double.

V. Ramanathan and Y. Xu, The Copenhagen Accord For Limiting Global Warming, PNAS, May 4, 2010 C.F. Kennel, V. Ramanathan, and D. Victor, *Proceedings American Philosophical Society*, *156*, *4*, 398-415, 2012

Rethinking The Way We Think About Climate

Policy Balance Adaptive Management More Forgiving Thinking Adaptive Management and Governance Bridging Knowledge and Action Knowledge Action Architecture Adaptation's Ethical Dilemma



Policy Balance

Many mitigation advocates disparaged talk of adaptation

Absolves emitters of their ethical responsibility to mitigate Bad for morale; admits we have lost the Carbon battle

Mitigation and Adaptation have different requirements

CO2 mitigation policy focuses on measures of global reach: deployment of greener energy technologies, macroeconomic, regulatory, and innovation incentives Key adaptation decisions are about local resilience, and focus on specific regional issues of current urgency which are expected to grow in importance

Mitigation and Adaptation Assessments have different audiences

IPCC assessments were designed to support a small number of large mitigation decisions by a comparative handful of central decision makers Adaptation assessments are required for hundreds of regions and thousands of communities and organizations

A World New to Human Experience



Can we get a feel for Earth's future from observing today's climate change? What can be learned from dissecting projections of future climate change? How adequate are contemporary assessments for adaptation purposes?

Adaptive Management

Adaptive management is what you do when you know you have a problem but you don't know how it will unfold



Assess, Decide, Act Think Globally, Assess Regionally, Act Locally

Adaptive Management

Assess, Decide, and Act-For A Thousand Years

Be Practical: Do all you can do at any one time

Accommodate political and economic realities Take progress where you find it, even if it's not everything Incremental progress is better than none

Be Reasonable: Allow for mistakes

Rethink when circumstances warrant Relieve anxiety of decision makers

Be Tolerant: No"one size fits all"

Regions have different economic, political, and technical capacities Nations take action in different ways and at different rates

Be Timely: Do not delay decisions

Motivate decision makers to decide Translate new knowledge as it is generated

Be Vigilant: Look out for tipping points

Task assessments to search for precursors Have "insurance policies" and back-up plans

Be Resolute: Build Enduring Institutions

Global knowledge management and decision support networks Hierarchy of coordinated governance structures

Adaptive Management and Governance Past Experience No Longer A Guide To The Future

Assessment Prepares knowledge for use by governance



Governance

Makes Decisions Guides evolution of outcomes

Like it or not, decision-makers have to rely on assessments to identify and characterize the issues they must decide.

Assessment and governance are inseparable: each needs the other.

By designing an assessment regime, we constrain a governance regime and *vice versa*.

Assessment Bridges Knowledge and Action

Knowledge Creates Situational Awareness Articulates options



Action Implements Decisions Promotes desired outcomes

Think Globally

Scientific knowledge is managed by a loosely organized club* of advanced nations that makes much of it freely available internationally. Assess Regionally

Governing bodies form groups of experts to identify adaptation issues, assemble pertinent knowledge, and deliver a synthesis in useful forms Act Locally

Communities within regions make and interrelate complex, multidisciplinary, and geographically distributed decisions. Ostrom** proposes the name "polycentric" for this kind of decision-making.

*Keohane, R.O. and D. G. Victor, The Regime Complex for Climate Change, Perspectives on Politics, v.9, no. 1, March 2011 **Ostrom, E., Beyond Markets and States: Polycentric Governance of Complex Economic Systems, *American Economic Review 100 (June 2010):* 1–

33http://www.aeaweb.org/articles.php?doi=10.1257/aer.100.3.1

Knowledge Action Architecture

Assessment Identifies and articulates Issues Frames "Decisions"



Governance

Makes "Decisions" Guides evolution of outcomes

Adaptation calls for a consortium of international knowledge management services to support, via assessment, polycentric decision making at regional and local levels

Adaptation's Ethical Dilemma

The countries least responsible and least able to adapt will suffer the most



There are assertions of blame and responsibility but few approaches that appeal to the interests of both developed and developing countries. However, there is a potential mutuality of interest in a global knowledge action network for disaster management and adaptation. It will help developing nations make their own assessments. Though there will be an asymmetry in scale and nature of investment, both developed and developing countries can see benefit in investing. It won't solve everything but it could help.

What have we learned about assessments for adaptation?

Experience Base Components of Assessment Knowledge Assembly & Synthesis Knowledge Certification Knowledge Translation Knowledge Delivery

Experience Base



Sub-Global International Governance Regime



National Governance





Regional Governance

Local Governance

Assessments conforming to their governance regimes Frameworks for polycentric decision-making

Components of Assessment Knowledge Management Processes



Assembly & Synthesis



Certification



Delivery



Translation

Knowledge Assembly & Synthesis Think Globally, Assess Regionally, Act Locally





Global Science Sets the Stage Space observations, global models Regional Assessments Frame Impacts Regional natural and human systems Local Knowledge Shapes Objectives Locally unique social and technical interactions

Knowledge Certification

IPCC's Most Important Value Added Product Deploys the Authority of Science



Extensive Literature Review

Informal Ranking of Reviewers

Exhaustive Small Group Discussion

Consensus of Experts Present

Knowledge Translation

Inform and Motivate Local Action





Not Enough to be Correct

If you can't make yourself understood, they won't listen

If you neglect social justice and economic development, they will neglect you

If you are culturally insensitive, they won't respond

Trust Motivates Action

Personal contact is the precursor to trust.

Decision makers should participate in assessments.

Trusted local leaders will carry out adaptation strategies





Adaptation Decision-Makers

Knowledge Delivery Connect Efficiently to Governance

Deliver the right knowledge to the right people at the right time

Deliver it when they need it and where they need it

Deliver it in forms they can use

Keep on delivering it

Looming Problems

Capacity

Complexity

Certification

Delivery

Quality Assurance

Timeliness

Sustainability

The Capacity Problem



Specialized decision support will be needed for dozens of sectors, hundreds of regions, and millions of decision makers. How can the relatively small science, technology, and policy community meet the coming demands?

The Complexity Problem

Adaptation Knowledge Cascade

Weather and Ocean Patterns

Large atmospheric systems-equator to pole heat transport, polar vortex, atmospheric rivers,... Ocean circulation-El Nino/La Nina, Pacific Decadal Oscillation, Gulf Stream... Regional characteristics- temperature, wind, rainfall, relative sea level...

Extreme events-heat waves, cold snaps, storms, droughts, floods,...

Regional Geophysical Systems

Cryosphere-Sea ice, Greenland, Antarctic, mountain glaciers and snows, permafrost... **Mountains and Watersheds-**river networks, aquifers, deltas, sediment transport...

Deserts-dust transport,...

Regional Ecosystems

Biodiversity: species distributions and abundances...

Biomes- chaparral, grassland, savannah, forest, tundra, marshlands, coastal zones... Habitats-invasive species, fragmentation,...

Regional Technical Systems

Managed Ecosystems-Agriculture, forestry, fisheries... Managed Water and Air Supplies-Irrigation, pollution,.. Managed Extreme Events-Disaster response and civil infrastructure...

Managed Human Services-Electricity production and transmission,...

Humans

Health-Malaria, cholera, respiratory diseases, ... Security-Food, water, and energy, environmental conflict, migration Economics-Industries, trade, investment Welfare-Socio-Economic Development

The Complexity Problem Regional and Sectoral Polycentric Governance



Adaptation is not suited to a centralized, top down, command-and-control management style. No central actor-leader, committee, government agency- can conceive of all the tasks ahead and how they interrelate.

The Delivery Problem Meet Assessment Needs of Communities Centers of innovation for resilience

UN Development Programme





COMMUNITY-BASED ADAPTATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE

Communities, one by one, will have to comprehend the economic, social, and cultural implications of climate change. Only they will be able to estimate socially realistic costs of adaptation, and only then will the world know the true magnitude of the challenge.

Quality Assurance The key enabler



Local leaders find themselves faced with decisions they cannot delay. They will not wait for knowledge to trickle down to them. Can they find knowledge they can trust on their own?



The Timeliness Problem

- Climate, as measured by global temperature, likely to change twice as fast in the next twenty years as it did in the last forty
- Significant change increasingly likely to occur between IPCC reports
- Research will have to keep up-Arctic 2004 Assessment out of date after 7 years
- Communities at risk cannot wait for knowledge to cascade from global to regional to local

Assessment should become an "always on" knowledge management service

The Sustainability Problem Plan to keep knowledge in active use for 1000 years



Ancient Library of Alexandria, 300 BCE-400CE

Modern Library of Alexandria, 2002 CE-

Enduring Meta-Institutional Framework Resilience to Disasters, Disruptions, and Political Change Obsolescence Strategy

What can we do with what we've got? Adaptive research management Embed assessment services in internet Work towards federation

What have we got?

Communications Architecture Cyber-Infrastructure Data Repositories Federated Research Networks Global Earth System of Systems

Adaptive Research Management

Research management needs to anticipate that the pace of climate change may accelerate



No bright line separating research and monitoring New observational results *de facto* policy relevant

Flexible Research Grants

More autonomy, core funding for research institutions and networks

Synthetic Research Projects

Reward integration as well as originality



Earth System Science Partnership (ICSU) World Climate Research Programme (1980) International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme (1987) DIVERSITAS (1991) International Human Dimensions Programme (1996)

Timely Knowledge Synthesis

Should authoritative global* and regional** organizations post reviews of research as soon as a synthesis seems mature?

*IPCC, ICSU...**UNEP, Academies...

Who reviews the reviews?


Embed Assessment Services in Internet

Ameliorate Capacity, Complexity, and Timeliness Problems



Assemble, catalog, disseminate, and preserve knowledge from a vast range of sources Provide tools for knowledge synthesis, certification, and search Encourage bottom-up initiatives via Open Access

Work Towards Closer Federation

Collection, Connection, Comprehension, Conservation, Communication

Some technical and management tools needed to integrate global, regional, and local knowledge services are in place.



Articulating agreements, standards, communications

World-Wide Communications Architecture

High capacity networking among archives and research centres Access by polycentric users enabled by the World-Wide Web





Global Mutual Learning Integration of distributed local actions



Cyber-Infrastructure Knowledge Management Tools





World Conservation Monitoring Center

Data Repositories

International Coordination National Management Global Access

U.S. Department of Commerce/NOAA/NESDIS National Oceanographic Data Center



NODC © ©







World Data Centers (1957) 52 centers, 12 countries Solar, Geophysical, Environmental, Human Dimensions

Federated Research Knowledge Network

NASA Distributed Active Archives (DAACs)





Global Earth Observation System of Systems Integrating Data in Nine Societal Benefit Areas



2002 WSSD and 2003 G-8 Summit 2003 Ministerial Meeting, Washington, DC 2005 Intergovernmental Agreement

88 governments, EC, and 64 affiliates as of 2012

Synthesis of a common pool of data

Space and *In Situ;* global and regional Physical, chemical, biological, Atmosphere, land, oceans

Common infrastructure for interoperability

What's missing?

Streamlined Certification Decision readiness-A NASA example Knowledge readiness levels? Bibliometric indicators Annotated search engines

Hunting for Decision-Ready Knowledge in the Information Jungle



Streamlined Certification Put Knowledge To Work Soon After It Appears



There is an urgent need to invent social and internet-based processes to characterize how ready new research is for practical use by non-experts.

Decision Readiness A NASA Example



http://mikesnead.net

http://spacefaringamerica.net



Knowledge Readiness Levels?

Level 1: Presented at conference Level 2: Published in gray literature Level 3: Peer-reviewed publication Level 4: Multi-disciplinary citations Level 5: Expert Synthesis Level 6: Decision-Readiness Level 7: Use* Level 8: Best Practice

*For a discussion of the complex factors affecting science use, see Dilling, L., & M.C. Lemos, Creating Usable Science: Opportunities and constraints for climate knowledge use and their implications for science policy, *Global Environmental Change, 21,* 680-689, 2011

Bibliometric Indicators

Inform But Not Replace Human Judgment



Oreskes, N., Beyond the Ivory Tower, The scientific consensus on climate change, Science, 306, 1686, 2004

Annotated Search Engines Locate and characterize synthesised "decision-ready" knowledge



Annotation enables a knowledge system to support a knowledge action system and encourages bottom up adaptation initiatives

Social Networking



Fundamental Premise

It requires courage for non-experts to risk reputation and resources to act on expert knowledge

"TRUST DIES BUT MISTRUST BLOSSOMS."

SOPHOCLES

🜀 Lifehack Quotes

Social networking conveys knowledge and motivation in equal measure

Stakeholder Consultation A Precursor to Knowledge Action Networks



The BaltCICA project (Climate Change: Impacts, Costs and Adaptation in the Baltic Sea Region) ran from 2009 to 2012 and included 24 academic partners. Each partner prepared a local case study. Each developed scenarios that illustrated possible impacts of climate change and the kinds of adaptation strategies that were open to the community. Each worked with stakeholders-local politicians, experts, and citizens in workshops and interviews.

Knowledge Sharing Consortia



The Asia Pacific Adaptation Network (APAN), established in October 2009 as part of the Global Climate Adaptation Network (GAN), aims at building the resilience of vulnerable human systems, ecosystems, and economies by mobilizing knowledge and technologies to support adaptation capacity building, policy-setting, planning and practices.

http://apan-gan.net

Best Practice Consortia

Action leaders from around the world consult with one other

Compare strategies, reinforce the confidence of superiors

Mitigation



Global Forest Coalition (NGOs)



Climate and Clear Air Coalition



Mountain Ice, Snow, and Water TPE

Adaptation



C-40

Knowledge Action Networks

Explicitly Connect Assessment and Governance

Forums on Science and Technology in Society Kyoto, 2009-2013



October 4-6, 2009

The first special session on regional climate change, "Creating an international framework for regional climate change impact assessments and local action" was convened on October 3, 2009. A sequel was convened on October 2, 2010, "Adaptation to the natural and social impacts of regional climate change". The Knowledge Action Network concept was first presented at these Forums. Subsequent special sessions were devoted to examining examples of knowledge-action networks.

Knowledge Action Networks

Face to face interactions among leaders of knowledge and action

Forum on Science and Technology in Society, Kyoto, 2009, Special Session on Regional Climate Change



Incubated internationally, empowered regionally, initiated locally

Global, regional, and local participants-a "vertical" structure

Science, technology and policy experts, regional leaders, local decision makers

Secretariats comprising professional knowledge translators-boundary organizations

Knowledge uptake improved by frequent interpersonal interaction

May be useful in developing world

Promote capacity-building and intercultural understanding A peer group for those who do not participate in international peer circles

Kennel, C. F., and S.Daultrey, Knowledge Action Networks, Connecting regional climate change assessments to local action, University of California, e-scholarship, 2010, <u>http://escholarship.org/uc/item/8gd6j0k5</u>

What Knowledge Action Networks Do

STS, Special Session on Regional Climate Change, Kyoto, 2010







Global Science, Technology, and Policy Communities

Regional Knowledge leaders

Local Decision Makers

Understand local impacts of regional climate change Characterize risks to the things local communities care about Leverage existing resources and programs Interrelate decisions at the global, regional, and local levels Build capacity

Translate scientific knowledge into locally usable forms Communicate the need for adaptation action in culturally appropriate terms Develop technical systems for local use Relay local knowledge to the regional, national, and international levels Support local leaders as they implement adaptation actions

Challenge to the International Community The world cannot wait for a perfect top-down framework. Take the first steps now.



Incubate knowledge action networks

Seed funding and core secretariat support Communications and common tools Federate knowledge management services

International diplomatic, technical initiatives Strengthen GEO

Connect knowledge management and decision support infrastructures

Annotated search engines Boundary organization services

Promulgate standards for decision readiness and federation

Convene conferences, workshops Propose certification processes



Interoperability

Internet Protocols enabled the spread of the internet around the world by enabling millions of small initiatives.

Could knowledge management protocols transform how the world adapts to climate change?

Knowledge Management Protocols

A High Leverage Investment

Taxonomy Standards

Labelling of subjects Data formats and data quality characterization Meta-languages

Repository Standards

What should be preserved, and for how long Redundancy and security Technological evolution strategy Incentives to contribute

Accessibility Standards

Intellectual property and security Price and public release Configuration management and traceability

Annotation Standards

Characterization of decision readiness Descriptors, labels, notation

Global Adaptation

An Emergent Property of Distributed Local Actions Made possible by interconnectivity



Figure adapted from Wikipedia; Complex Adaptive System by Alan Hakimi, The New World of Emergent Architecture and Complex Adaptive Systems, MSDN Blogs, Zen and the Art of Enterprise Architecture

